

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,742 01/22/2001			Angad Singh	M-9289 US	1287
34036	7590 03/03/2004			EXAMINER	
SILICON VALLEY PATENT GROUP LLP				SNAY, JEFFREY R	
2350 MISSIC	ON COLL	EGE BOULEVARI)		
SUITE 360				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CLARA, CA 95054				1743	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

...

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/766,742

Art Unit: 1743

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-15, drawn to a microfluidics detection device, classified in class
 422, subclass 100.
 - Claims 16-30, drawn to a biosensor system, classified in class 422, subclass 82.05.
 - III. Claims 31-46, drawn to a method for purifying and detecting target substances, classified in class 436, subclass 164. It is noted that claim 46 has been grouped with claim 31 solely on the basis of its dependency therefrom. While claim 46 is generally directed to a device, the metes and bounds of the claim are so ambiguous that at present it can only be considered a claim dependent from independent claim 31.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product could be used for mixing, e.g. laminar flow diffusion, of fluids rather than purification.

Art Unit: 1743

Additionally, the product could be used in materially different methods of sample analysis not involving purification, such as specific binding assays, or blood coagulation time assays.

- 3. Inventions III and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method does not require the particular apparatus, which includes all of the elements of the apparatus to be contained within a handheld housing.
- 4. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the particular microfluidics structure of the subcombination, including a reservoir and detection region coupled via a channel. The subcombination has separate utility such as fluid handling other than detection of target analytes, such as heating, separation, mixing or microscopic examination.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,742 Page 4

Art Unit: 1743

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Snay whose telephone number is (571) 272-1264. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey R. Snay

JEFFREY SNAY PRIMARY EXAMINER